

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writting in response to the FCC's current review of a final shred of regulation within a media conglomerated beyond conceivable reason. I am speaking, of course, about the cross-ownership ban that would allow one company to control both print and broadcast media within the same geographic location.

I emurse myself in happenings across my city, state, country, and world in order to holistically reference current society. I own a televison. I pay the newspaper vendor at 290 and Sacramento 35 cents EVERYDAY for a copy of the paper. What is odd is that I CAN not use either as a news source. Could I honestly trust three or four individuals reading a scripted news report four times a day to deliver me solid journalism? Equally as odd; why is it that 75% of the hard news stories in the newspaper come from an Associated Press report? Shouldn't local news be delved into and presented by local journalists?

To complete the circle of shadowed journalism to which I place very little credibility, the same articles that I'm weary of in the paper are read on the news and posted on the conglomerant's internet source (with the same wording!). This is of grave concern to me.

As a citizen of this country, I would ask the FCC to end all conciderations for the cross-ownership ban repeals. Our nation's media conglomeration's choke-hold on journalism and factual reporting is tight enough, I ask you not to further aid the suffocation. Please regress in your conciderations for lifting this regulation. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Cathleen McCluskey